

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/609,237	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HOFFNAGLE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Jessica T. Stultz	<b>Art Unit</b> 2873	

**All Participants:**

(1) Jessica T Stultz.

(2) Daniel Johnson.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 November 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*None*

**Claims discussed:**

*1-38*

**Prior art documents discussed:**


*None*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner called attorney to discuss cancelling the non-elected claims to place the application in condition for allowance. The attorney argued that the species restriction was improper based on the similarities between the claimed groups.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)